

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

February 26, 2003

IN RE:

**PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT
AND AMENDMENTS THERETO BETWEEN
BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
AND EXCEL TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.**

**DOCKET NO.
02-01272**

**ORDER APPROVING INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT
AND FIRST SET OF AMENDMENTS**

This matter came before Chairman Sara Kyle, Director Deborah Taylor Tate, and Director Pat Miller of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (the "Authority"), the voting panel assigned to this docket, at a regularly scheduled Authority Conference held on January 27, 2003 to consider, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252, the Petition for approval of the interconnection agreement and first set of amendments thereto negotiated between BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. and Excel Telecommunications, Inc., filed on December 3, 2002.

Based upon a review of the agreement and first set of amendments, the record in this matter, and the standards for review set forth in 47 U.S.C. § 252, the Directors unanimously granted the Petition and made the following findings and conclusions:

- 1) The Authority has jurisdiction over public utilities pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-104.
- 2) The agreement and the amendments are in the public interest as they provide consumers with alternative sources of telecommunications services within the BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. service area.

3) The agreement and the amendments are not discriminatory to telecommunications service providers that are not parties thereto.


4) 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A) provides that a state commission may reject a negotiated agreement only if it “discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement” or if the implementation of the agreement “is not consistent with the public interest, convenience or necessity.” Unlike arbitrated agreements, a state commission may not reject a negotiated agreement on the grounds that the agreement fails to meet the requirements of 47 U.S.C. §§ 251 or 252(d).¹ Thus, although the Authority finds that neither ground for rejection of a negotiated agreement exists, this finding should not be construed to mean that the agreement and the amendments are consistent with §§ 251 or 252(d) or, for that matter, previous Authority decisions.


5) No person or entity has sought to intervene in this docket.


6) The agreement and the amendments are reviewable by the Authority pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252 and Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-104.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

The Petition is granted, and the interconnection agreement and first set of amendments thereto negotiated between BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. and Excel Telecommunications, Inc. are approved and are subject to the review of the Authority as provided herein.


Sara Kyle, Chairman


Deborah Taylor Tate, Director


Pat Miller, Director

¹ See 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(B)(Supp. 2001).